
ANALYSIS OF ACCESS AND USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA FOR AGRICULTURAL INFORMATION AMONG POULTRY FARMERS IN ABIA STATE, NIGERIA

Maduka, P. O., Nwankwo G.

Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development,
Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria
Correspondence Email: madukapeter@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The study analyzed access and use of social media for agricultural information among poultry farmers in Abia State, Nigeria. The specific objectives were to: describe the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents; determine the level of utilization of social media for agricultural information; and determine the level of access to social media for agricultural information of the poultry farmers in the study area. Multi-stage random sampling techniques were used to select 126 poultry farmers. Data were collected with the aid of a structured questionnaire. Data were analyzed using simple descriptive and inferential statistics. The results revealed that the majority (65.10%) of the respondents were males and married (82.5%), with an average age of 45.1 years. The majority of the respondents (68.3%) attended post-secondary education. Facebook ($\bar{x} = 3.46$), and WhatsApp ($\bar{x} = 3.40$) were highly accessed for agricultural information, and utilized ($\bar{x} = 3.29; 3.60$). The result of the relationship between the selected socioeconomic characteristics of poultry farmers and use of social media for agricultural information showed that age (p -value = -4.998), farming experience (p -value = 1.924), educational level (p -value = 2.399) and Membership of cooperatives (p -value = 2.083) influenced the use of social media for agricultural information. The study recommended that the ADP's campaigns should prioritize utilizing popular youth-centric platforms such as WhatsApp, Facebook, and YouTube to reach graduate agripreneurs and farmers of livestock programmes.

Keywords: Information, Agriculture, Social media, Poultry, Farmers.

INTRODUCTION

Conventionally, farmers' access to agricultural information has been through networks of friends, relatives, and, formally, through contacts with extension agents and input suppliers (Fidel Ugwuowo, 2021, and Mtega, 2021). Currently, there are shortcomings from these conventional sources; therefore, the need for improved information sources (Mtega, 2021), such as the innovations in the area of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT).

Social media, as one of the recent advancements in ICT, is a means of interaction where people create, share, and exchange information and ideas in virtual communities and networks. Social media has been defined as an online medium where users can communicate and interact with one another for the interchange of information and networking (Nwachukwu, 2023; Briandana and Dwityas, 2019). Eke *et al.* (2014) viewed social networking sites as modern interactive communication channels through which people connect, interact, share ideas, experiences, pictures, messages, information of interest, and collaborate. These platforms provide high interactivity through reliance on mobile and web-based technologies. Social media integrates technologies, social interaction, and content creation to collaboratively connect online information (Chiemela *et al.*, 2015).

Poultry farming, defined as the rearing of domesticated chicken, turkey, guinea fowl, and other game birds by a farmer (Abiola and Edeoghon, 2014). As one of the integral aspects of livelihood for both rural and urban middle income earners around the world, poultry farming is a good source of income, and according to the Food and Agriculture Organization (2010), it occupies about 33% of the total global animal protein production for household consumption. Poultry production thus requires constant access to relevant information.

Social media has transformed the method of communication and interaction of people universally, thus impacting businesses in positive ways. More attention needs to be paid to its utilization and effects in poultry farming in Abia State. Traditionally, access to information by most poultry farmers in the State has been through extension workers, television, radio, and libraries (Onyeneke *et al.*, 2016). Ifeoma *et al.* (2023) opined that advancement in poultry production can be achieved by providing reliable information via channels easily accessible to farmers. The use of communication methods such as farm and home visits, use of contact farmers, and print media by extension workers in the state is becoming inefficient and, therefore, calls for the use of more proactive means by agricultural information providers for the benefit of farmers and other users (Olaniyi, 2013). Previous research by Onyeneke *et al.* (2016) showed that among other communication sources, farmers use mobile phones to communicate with each other. Some of these phones have internet connectivity and the extent poultry farmers in the study area utilize it to connect to social media for agricultural information, little work has been reported; be it on: marketing of their produce, accessing production information, weather forecasting and other meteorological information, feeding and nutrition, credit and loan procurement, and other relevant information. Against this backdrop, the study analyzed the access and use of social media in agricultural information search among poultry farmers in Abia State, Nigeria.

This study was necessary because social media has become a powerful tool that connects people globally from the comfort of their homes, alongside traditional media. This study will identify ways of employing social media in communicating with smallholder poultry farmers towards sustaining increased production and improving their livelihood.

The specific objectives of the study were to determine the level of utilization of social media for agricultural information among the poultry farmers and to determine the level of access to social media for agricultural information among the poultry farmers in the study area.

H₀: It was hypothesized that there is no significant relationship between selected socio-economic characteristics of respondents and the use of social media for agricultural information.

METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Abia State, Nigeria. Abia is a State in the southeastern part of Nigeria. The research adopted the multi-stage random sampling technique. The first stage consisted of randomly selecting two (Ohafia and Umuahia) out of the three (Umuahia, Aba, and Ohafia) agricultural zones of the State. At the second stage, three ADP blocks were randomly selected from each zone, giving a total of 6 blocks. At the third stage, 3 circles were purposively selected from each block based on areas with a larger population of poultry farmers, giving a total of 18 circles. At the fourth stage, seven poultry farmers were randomly selected from each circle to give a total of 126 farmers who served as respondents.

The study used primary data collected from poultry farmers in Abia State with the aid of a validated structured questionnaire. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as frequency counts, percentages, and mean, and mean score realized from a 5-point Likert rating scale. The time spent in the use of social media platforms for agricultural information was graded as: Hourly = 5, Daily = 4, Weekly = 3, Monthly = 2, and Never = 1. While, extent of use of social media to obtain agricultural information was scaled thus: Very often = 5, Often = 4, Sometimes = 3, Rarely = 2, Never = 1. Based on the mid-score of 3.00, variables with mean scores equal to or above 3.00 were regarded as high, while those with mean scores less than 3.00 were regarded as low.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-economic Characteristics of Poultry Farmers in Abia State:

The results of data analysis on selected socio-economic characteristics of poultry farmers in the study area are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of respondents by socio-economic characteristics

Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Mean
Gender			
Male	82	65.1	
Female	44	34.9	
Total	126	100.0	
Marital status			
Married	104	82.5	
Not married/separated	22	17.5	
Total	126	100	
Age (years)			
≤40	50	39.7	
41-50	39	30.9	
≥51	37	29.4	
Total	126	100.0	45.1
Educational Level			
Primary Education	8	6.3	
Secondary Education	32	25.4	
Tertiary Education	86	68.3	
Total	126	100.0	
Flock size			
≤500	63	50.0	
501-600	12	9.5	
601-799	14	11.1	
≥ 800	37	29.4	
Total	126	100.0	609.6
Farming Experience (in years)			
< 10	47	37.3	
10-15	42	33.3	
16-21	15	11.9	
22-27	4	3.2	
≥ 28	18	14.3	
Total	126	100.0	13.5
Membership in cooperatives/farmers' organizations			
Yes	53	42.06	
No	73	57.93	
Total	126	100.0	
Income level (₦/Month)			
<₦20,000	4	3.2	
₦20,000 – ₦40,000	58	46.0	
₦41,000 – ₦60,000	37	29.4	
≥ ₦61,000	27	21.4	
Total	126	100.0	₦48,404.76

Source: Field survey data, 2019

The result indicated that the majority (65.1%) were males. The dominance of male farmers in poultry production indicates the tasking and energy-consuming nature of the enterprise. **Marital Status.** Result shows that the majority (82.5%) of the respondents were married, while 17.5% were either single, separated, or widowed. **Age.** Over 70% of the respondents were below the age of 51 years, and the mean age of 45.1 years.

Level of education. About 68.3% of the respondents attended tertiary/post-secondary education, while the least population of 6.3% acquired the first school leaving certificate education level.

Flock size of Poultry Farmers

Table 1 also revealed that the majority (50.0%) of the respondents had a flock/farm size of less than 500 birds. This showed that a higher percentage of the respondents were within the small-scale poultry producers. Sandeep *et al.* (2022) revealed that the majority of the farmers belonged to a small category of flock holdings; the use of social media is perceived to grant them more opportunity to access relevant information for profitable farming enterprises and increased productivity.

Years of experience. The majority (62.7%) of the sampled farmers had above 10 years of farming experience. It was expected that a longer period of experience would give rise to high use of innovations such as social media that would increase productivity.

Membership of cooperatives. A greater proportion of the respondents (57.93%) were not members of any cooperatives or farmers' organizations. The result disagrees with the findings of Edeoghon and Esene (2018), which showed that the majority (66.7%) of the poultry farmers were registered with the Poultry Association of Nigeria. **Monthly earnings of Poultry Farmers.**

The result showed that most (46%) of the respondents had a monthly income level of about ₦20,000 to ₦40,000, and the lowest percentage (3.2%) of the respondents earned a monthly income below ₦20,000. This implied that the majority of the farmers could afford the gadgets suitable for accessing social media tools.

Determination of Level of Access to Social Media for Agricultural Information among Poultry Farmers in Abia State.

The result of data analysis on the level of access to social media for agricultural information among poultry farmers in Abia State is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents based on level of access to social media platforms

S/N.	Social Media	N = 126	∑FX	\bar{x}	Rating
1.	Facebook		436	3.46	High
2.	Twitter		198	1.57	Low
3.	WhatsApp		429	3.40	High
4	LinkedIn		175	1.39	Low
5.	Youtube		251	1.99	Low
6.	Skype		145	1.15	Low
7.	Instagram		202	1.60	Low

Source: Field survey, 2019.

The result in Table 2 showed that the majority of the respondents frequently accessed their Facebook ($\bar{x} = 3.46$) and WhatsApp ($\bar{x} = 3.40$) accounts. However, Skype ($\bar{x} = 1.15$), LinkedIn ($\bar{x} = 1.39$), Twitter ($\bar{x} = 1.57$), Instagram ($\bar{x} = 1.60$) and others ($\bar{x} = 1.39$), recorded low access among farmers in the study area. Thus, this implied that Facebook and WhatsApp were the most common social media platforms used by poultry farmers in the study area. Also, the more a user accessed certain platforms, the more they tended to utilize them.

Determination of the Extent of Utilization of Social Media for Agricultural Information among Poultry Farmers in Abia State.

The result of data analysis on the extent of use of social media for agricultural information among poultry farmers in Abia State is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Distribution of respondents based on the extent of utilization of social media for agricultural information

S/N.	Social Media	N = 126	$\sum FX$	\bar{x}	Rating
1.	Facebook		414	3.29	High
2.	Twitter		199	1.58	Low
3.	WhatsApp		454	3.60	High
4.	LinkedIn		173	1.37	Low
5.	Youtube		238	1.89	Low
6.	Skype		141	1.12	Low
7.	Instagram		173	1.37	Low

Source: Field survey data, 2019.

In terms of utilization of social media for dissemination of agricultural information, Table 3 showed that WhatsApp ($\bar{x} = 3.60$) and Facebook ($\bar{x} = 3.29$) were highly used among poultry farmers in the study area. This confirmed that WhatsApp and Facebook were the commonly used social media platforms among poultry farmers in the study area. It also showed that as farmers accessed (downloaded) their social media applications, they also utilized the above tools for the dissemination of agricultural information. The high extent of use of WhatsApp and Facebook correlates with the high level of awareness of these two social media among the poultry farmers. The low extent of use of other social media platforms could be due to a low level of awareness, poor access, and could also be attributed to low connectedness, publicness, trendiness, and lack of training/knowledge of their relevance in agriculture, among other factors. Generally, one could deduce from the findings that out of the seven social media platforms sampled, only two were highly used by the farmers. The results corresponded relatively with the findings of Wangu (2014), who studied the frequency of social media use for agricultural information. The result showed that the majority of farmers used social media to access agricultural information. Similarly, a report by Dowling *et al.* (2015) revealed that the majority of the respondents utilized Facebook (94.64%) for the marketing of their livestock products, followed by Instagram (67.68%), Pinterest (53.57%), and Twitter (50.00%). The report further stated that in today's technologically dependent society, information can be shared almost instantaneously with other people through social media. Thus, it emphasized the considerable impact that farmers can have influencing their customers through social media.

Relationship Between selected socio-economic characteristics of respondents and use of social media for agricultural information

The results of the multiple regression analysis used to test the hypothesis that selected socio-economic characteristics do not significantly influence the use of social media platforms for agricultural information in the study area, as presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Regression analysis on the selected socio-economic characteristics influencing the use of social media for agricultural information

Variables	Linear	Exponential ⁺	Double	Semi-log
Constant	3.036 (5.973) ***	1.139 (4.064) ***	2.496 (2.398) **	5.953 (3.142) ***
Age (X ₁)	-0.034 (-5.110) ***	-0.019 (-4.998) ***	-0.624 (-3.831) ***	-1.206 (-4.069) ***
Gender(X ₂)	-0.150 (-1.384)	-0.066 (-1.112)	-0.081 (-0.929)	-0.192 (-1.204)
Marital Status(X ₃)	0.029 (0.194)	0.020 (0.247)	0.035 (0.277)	0.045 (0.197)
Farming Experience (X ₄)	0.012 (1.896)	0.007 (1.924) *	0.021 (0.505)	0.049 (0.659)
Flock size (X ₅)	9.521 (0.438)	5.101 (0.425)	0.035 (0.478)	0.070 (0.531)
Educational level (X ₆)	0.021 (2.153) **	0.013 (2.399) **	0.267 (3.180) ***	0.428 (2.799) ***
Membership in the cooperative (X ₇)	0.087 (2.124) **	0.047 (2.083) **	0.125 (2.674) ***	0.228 (2.670) ***
Income(X ₈)	-8.909 (-0.021)	1.349 (0.057)	-0.047 (-0.419)	-0.105 (-0.516)
R ²	0.338	0.346	0.335	0.334
Adj.R ²	0.293	0.301	0.290	0.289
F. ratio	7.466***	7.375***	7.377***	7.343***

Significant at 1%, **= Significant at 5%, *= Significant at 10%

+ = Lead equation. Figures in parentheses are t-ratios.

Decision: Null hypothesis rejected at 1% level of significance.

Source: Field Survey, 2019.

Where: *** =

The result revealed that the coefficient of determination, R^2 , was 0.346, which implied that about 35% of the variation in the use of social media by poultry farmers for agricultural information was explained by the independent variables in the model.

The results revealed that there was a negative and significant relationship between the age of the respondents and the use of social media. This implied that as the age of the farmers advanced, the use of social media decreased. The coefficient of farming experience (0.007) of the farmers positively influenced the use of social media for agricultural information at the 10% level of significance. This indicated that an increase in the farming experience of the poultry farmers by one year leads to an increase in the use of social media. Educational level had a positive and significant coefficient (0.013) at the 5% level of significance. Also, membership in farmers' cooperatives had a significant positive relationship with the use of social media ($P < 0.05$). This underscores the importance of cooperative membership in the use of social media by farmers for agricultural information. The result implied that the more farmers belonged to a cooperative organization, the more likely they were to use social media for agricultural information.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concluded that access and use of Facebook and WhatsApp for agricultural information were very high in the study area. This affirmed the popularity of these social media tools. The socioeconomic characteristics of farmers, such as age, farming experience, educational level, and membership in cooperatives, contributed positively to the use of social media to access agricultural information in the study area.

The study recommended as follows:

- i. Agricultural extension agencies and other policy makers should fully adopt social media as one of the platforms to communicate innovations, research updates, and other relevant information to farmers.
- ii. Encourage formal and informal educational training programmes on how to use social media platforms for agricultural information. This would improve extension service delivery and advance poultry farmers' farm productivity, which in turn will improve their living standard.
- iii. Cooperatives can serve as effective channels for promoting social media usage. Encourage more farmers to join and participate in cooperative activities, and support cooperatives in adopting and promoting social media tools.

REFERENCES

- Abiola, M.O., and Edeogon, C.O. (2014). Information needs of urban poultry producers in Owerri North Local Government Area of Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural Innovations and Research*. 2, 6: 882-886.
- Agwu, A. E. and Chah, J.M. (2007). Access and utilization of modern information communication technologies among extension personnel in Benue State of Nigeria. In: *Agricultural Extension and the Challenges of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)*. Proceedings of the 12th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Extension Society of Nigeria (AESON). Maiduguri, 4th – 7th July, 2007.
- Briandana, R. and Dwityas, N.A. (2019). Media literacy: An analysis of Social media use among millennials. *Int. J. English Lit. Soc. Sci.*, 4(2):488-496.
- Chiemela, Q.A., Ovute, A.O., and Obochi, C.I. (2015). The influence of social media on the Nigerian youths: Aba residents' experience. *Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science*, 3(3):12-20.
- Darshan, N.P., Meena, B.S., and Meena, H.R. (2017). Influence of socio-economic characteristics of farmers on their use of social media in Haryana, India. *Int. J. Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(10): 14-18. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.610.002>
- Dowling, K., Buck, E., and Lyvers-Peffer, P. (2015). Impact of social media marketing campaigns on consumer perception of the food animal husbandry. Thesis presented to the Department of Animal Sciences, Ohio State University. www.google.com. Retrieved 11/04/2019.
- Edeogon, C.O. and Esene, F.E. (2018). Awareness and use of social media among urban poultry farmers in Ikorodu LGA, Lagos State, Nigeria. *East African Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management*; 1(1):21-26. www.easpublisher.com/easjebm/
- Eke, H. N., Omekwu, C. O., and Odoh, J. N. (2014). The use of social networking sites among the undergraduate students of the University of Nigeria, Nsukka. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1195>
- Fasino, O.O, Tehinloju O.A. and Ikuerowo, J.O. (2021). Farmers' readiness to adopt social media as an agro-information reception tool during Covid9 pandemic in Ondo State, Nigeria. Proceedings of the annual conference of the Agricultural Extension Society of Nigeria. <https://dx.don.org/10.4314/jae.v26i17s>
- Fidelugwuowo, U.B. (2021). Knowledge and skills for accessing agricultural information by rural farmers in South-East Nigeria. *Int. Fed. Libr. Assoc. Institutions*, 47(2):119-128.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2010). Poultry meat and eggs. *Agribusiness handbook*. FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy.
- Ifeoma, V.N., Mbajiuka, S.C., Odoemelam, L.E., and Maduka, O.A. (2023). Farmer-Herder conflict and the agricultural livelihood activities of farmers in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Journal of Community and Communication Research*, 8(2).ISSN:2635-3318. Accessible at: <https://jccr.sccdr.org.ng>
- Mega, W.P. (2021). Communication channels for exchanging agricultural information among Tanzanian farmers: a meta-analysis. *Inter. Fed. Libr. Association Institutions*, 47(4):570-579. Doi:10.1177/03400352211023837.

- Msoffe, G.E.P. and Ngulube, P. (2017). Information sources preference of poultry farmers in the selected rural areas of Tanzania. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 49(1):82-90.
- Ndimbwa, T., Ndumbaro, F., and Mwantimwa, K. (2019). Delivery mechanisms of agricultural information and knowledge to smallholder farmers in Tanzania: A meta-analysis study. *Salaam Libr. Journal*, 14(2):87-98.
- Nwachukwu Ike (2023). Developing digital communication technology for agricultural development in Nigeria. 2nd Annual lecture, Prof C Asiabaka Lecture series. Owerri. 6th May,
- Nwankwo, I.V., Odoemelam, L.E., and Nwachukwu, I. (2024). The effective utilization of digital communication tools by commercial poultry farmers in the Aba metropolis of Abia State. *Journal of Agric. Econs., Extension and Rural Dev.*, 12(10):95-102.
- Obazi, S.A., Dimelu, M.U., and Chukwueze, M. (2022). Access and usefulness of agricultural information among poultry farmers. *International Journal of Agric. Science, Research and Technology in Extension and Education Systems*, 12(2):65-74.
- Olaniyi, O. A. (2013). Assessment on utilization of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) among poultry farmers in Nigeria: An emerging challenge. *Transitional Journal of Science and Technology*, June 2013 edition, 3, 6:29-43
- Olawuyi S.O. and Rahji M.A.Y. (2012). Analysis of livelihood strategies of household heads in the Ode-omi kingdom, Ogun-water side local government area, Ogun State, Nigeria. 11 (11):2-19. www.arpapress.com
- Onyeneke, C.O., Solomon-Uwakwe, B.M., and Azubogu, N. (2016). Using information and communication technology in promoting access to information needs of poultry farmers in Nigeria: A case study of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Umuahia, Nigeria. Columbus IFLA. Submitted on 10.08.2016. Available online as: <https://library.ifla.org/id/eprint/1523/1/110-onyeneke-en.pdf>.
- Parmar, I.S., Soni, P., Kuwornu, J.K.M., and Salin, K.R. (2019). Evaluating farmers' access to agricultural information: Evidence from the semi-arid region of Rajasthan State, India. *Agriculture*, 9(3):1-17. Doi:10.3390/agriculture9030060.
- Sandeep, G.P., Prashanth, P., Sreenivasulu, M. and Madavilata, A. (2022). Effectiveness of agricultural information disseminated through social media. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*. 58:2(186-190).
- Suchiradipta, B., and Saravanan, R. (2016). Social media: Shaping the future of agricultural extension and advocacy services, GFRAS interest group on ICT4RAS discussion paper, GFRAS: Lindsay, Switzerland.
- Wangu, K.C. (2014). Use of social media as a source of agricultural information by smallholder farmers: A case study of Lower Kabete, Kiambu County. An MA thesis submitted to the School of Journalism and Mass Communication, University of Nairobi, Kenya. Pp.1-103